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Purpose & Approach

• In the finance and mutual fund industry, Vanguard founder John C. (Jack) Bogle, who passed away January 16, 2019, was well known. He was highly respected by many business and political leaders. What is not known is how widely known and well regarded among investors -- 19 years after stepping down from Vanguard.

• The Institute for the Fiduciary Standard seeks to document the legacy of Jack Bogle through the eyes of those he sought to serve – ordinary investors. This survey of both Vanguard investors and the investing public set out to do so. This survey sought to measure investor attitudes regarding: Jack Bogle and other successful leaders in finance and business; Vanguard and other financial services firms; and their awareness of and respect for the basic investment tenets on which Jack Bogle built Vanguard and espoused throughout his life.

• Rockland Dutton Research & Consulting fielded an online survey among investors in the United States. The survey was completed by 500 Vanguard investors and 500 investors among the general public, all ages 25 and older, with at least $100k in invested assets, in the first two weeks of October 2019. Vanguard investors who participated in the survey were pre-screened on the basis that Vanguard is one of their primary funds. It should be noted that with the general public investors, some have or do hold Vanguard funds consistent with their prevalence among investors throughout the U.S.

  • The data collection was subcontracted to Research Now/SSI, now called Dynata.
What This Study Found About Jack Bogle’s Legacy

1. Jack Bogle is not, as Bloomberg noted, a household name by the investing public. However, to those who do know him, his reputation is stellar, consistent with the country’s better known and hugely successful investor, Warren Buffett, and Bill Gates, arguably the most successful and prominent business executive of the past 40 years. In comparison, both Steve Jobs and Chuck Schwab lag behind Bogle, Buffett and Gates.

2. The investing public views the reputations of Apple and Vanguard similarly -- at about 41% in this survey in terms of being very high. Microsoft, Schwab, Merrill Lynch follow at 36%, 32% and 25% respectively.

3. The investing public hears and understands the principles Jack Bogle espoused. This survey finds that investors value low cost and diversified index mutual funds for the long term. Index funds are widely seen as very positive. They offer lower costs, eliminate certain risks, improve returns, and enhance transparency. While the investing public values Jack Bogle’s investing principles, Vanguard investors do so even more.

4. For the investing public, Bogle’s legacy is best described as, “Investing in the entire market with low cost index funds is better than stock picking.” The second place legacy theme speaks to Jack Bogle’s passion to help investors make better decisions. Investors return the compliment saying, he “Made investing understandable.”
The Reputation of Jack Bogle
Among the General Investing Public more than 35% Know Jack Bogle

- Nineteen years after exiting Vanguard, half of the investing public has heard of Jack Bogle; this compares with 76% of Vanguard investors.

**General Investing Public**

Knowledge of Jack Bogle

- 50.8%, Never heard of him
- 13.4%, Some
- 13.0%, Have just heard the name
- 13.8%, Only a little
- 9.0%, A lot

**Vanguard Investors**

Knowledge of Jack Bogle

- 23.8%, Never heard of him
- 23.2%, Some
- 18.6%, Have just heard the name
- 24.0%, Only a little
- 10.6%, A lot

Q19. How much do you know about Jack Bogle, the founder and former chairman of Vanguard?
Of Those Who Know of Him Among the Public, Views of Jack Bogle are Widely Favorable, 60.5% to 0.3% -- and 38% Neutral

General Investing Public
View of Jack Bogle
- 32.5%, Mostly favorable
- 38.2%, Neutral
- 28.0%, Extremely favorable
- 0% selected “Extremely unfavorable”

Vanguard Investors
View of Jack Bogle
- 40.1%, Mostly favorable
- 23.0%, Neutral
- 36.6%, Extremely favorable
- 0% selected “Mostly unfavorable”

Q20. Is your view of Jack Bogle...?
Among the Investing Public, Jack Bogle’s General Familiarity is Far Lower Than Luminaries such as Warren Buffett and Bill Gates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very/Somewhat Familiar</th>
<th>Very</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Gates</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>71.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Zuckerberg</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Jobs</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Buffett</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bloomberg</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Schwab</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Bogle</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jack Bogle’s familiarity with the public is overshadowed by business leaders whose name is inseparable from their firm’s name

**Q8. How familiar are you with each of the following individuals — very familiar, somewhat familiar, only a little familiar, have only just heard the name, or have never heard of this person?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very/Somewhat Familiar</th>
<th>Very</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Gates</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Jobs</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Buffett</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Zuckerberg</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bloomberg</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Schwab</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Bogle</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very/Somewhat Familiar</th>
<th>Very</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Gates</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Jobs</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Buffett</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Zuckerberg</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bloomberg</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Schwab</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Bogle</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yet, Jack Bogle Ranks at the Very Top with Warren Buffett and Bill Gates for his Excellent Reputation among the General Investing Public who are Familiar with his Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Investing Public</th>
<th>Vanguard Investors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very High Reputation (9-10 Responses)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Very High Reputation (9-10 Responses)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Bogle</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Buffett</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Gates</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Jobs</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Schwab</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bloomberg</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Zuckerberg</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q9.** On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is poor and 10 is excellent, to the best of your knowledge how would you rate the reputation of each of these individuals? Asked of those very, somewhat or a little familiar with Jack Bogle.
The More Familiar Investors are With Jack Bogle, The More They Respect Him

This question differs slightly from Question 8 which is about familiarity, but the results are highly comparable

General Investing Public

Respect for Jack Bogle

- 28.5%, Detractors (1-6 responses)
- 29.3%, Passives (7-8 responses)
- 42.3%, Promoters (9-10 responses)

Vanguard Investors

Respect for Jack Bogle

- 15.0%, Detractors (1-6 responses)
- 37.2%, Passives (7-8 responses)
- 47.9%, Promoters (9-10 responses)

Q22. How much do you respect Jack Bogle as a knowledgeable, authoritative voice for investing, on a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is no respect at all and 10 is great respect?
For Those Who Know Jack Bogle, Keeping Investment Costs Low and Long Term Investing are The Most Important Principles He Advanced: Vanguard Investors See all His Principles Even More Favorably

- Among the general public, those who responded not much or not at all ranged from 9-13% and for Vanguard investors 3-7%

**General Investing Public**

- Keep investing costs as low as possible: 47.6%
- Buy and hold investments long-term: 47.2%
- Diversify investments by buying entire market: 39.8%
- Risks and costs of market timing reduces returns: 38.2%
- Indexing is better than active management: 37.4%

**Vanguard Investors**

- Keep investing costs as low as possible: 68.6%
- Buy and hold investments long-term: 56.5%
- Indexing is better than active management: 51.0%
- Diversify investments by buying entire market: 50.5%
- Risks and costs of market timing reduces returns: 45.0%

**Q23. How much was Jack Bogle a leader in the mutual fund industry for advancing these principles?**
- A great deal, some, not much or not at all.

- Among the general public, those who responded not much or not at all ranged from 9-13% and for Vanguard investors 3-7%
Company Reputations
Q4. How familiar are you with each of the following companies – very familiar, somewhat familiar, only a little familiar, have only just heard the name, or have never heard of this company?
High Familiarity and High Reputation Ratings Are Not Always Related

Q5. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is poor and 10 is excellent, to the best of your knowledge how would you rate the reputation of each of the following companies?
For the Investing Public, When It Comes to Putting Customer Interests First, Vanguard is at the Top while Merrill Lags Behind

Q7. How much do you respect each of the following as an investment company that puts customers’ interests first, on a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is no respect at all and 10 is great respect?
Among the Investing Public, Vanguard’s Reputation Parallels that of Apple and is Higher than Charles Schwab and Merrill Lynch

For the general public investors, Berkshire Hathaway is on top; Vanguard’s reputation outranks Charles Schwab and Merrill Lynch

### General Investing Public

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Very High Reputation (9-10 Responses)</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkshire Hathaway</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanguard</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Schwab</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vanguard Investors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Very High Reputation (9-10 Responses)</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vanguard</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkshire Hathaway</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Schwab</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q5. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is poor and 10 is excellent, to the best of your knowledge how would you rate the reputation of each of the following companies?**
Principles Jack Bogle Espoused
Q11. Now, using a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not important at all and 10 is very important please rank the importance of each of these factors to you when it comes to investing in mutual funds. If you don’t know enough to say, please indicate that by checking N/A.
### Investment Priorities Ranked by Those Who Are Knowledgeable About Jack Bogle

- **Vanguard Investors More Knowledgeable And More Correct About Ignoring Past Performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>General Overall</th>
<th>Investing Public Know A lot/Some About Jack Bogle Know a little/only heard name/never heard of</th>
<th>Vanguard Investors Overall</th>
<th>Know A lot/Some About Jack Bogle</th>
<th>Know a little/only heard name/never heard of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investing long term</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversifying</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimizing costs</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping it simple</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past performance</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researching individual companies</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q11. Now, using a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not important at all and 10 is very important please rank the importance of each of these factors to you when it comes to investing in mutual funds. If you don’t know enough to say, please indicate that by checking N/A.
Diversifying, Investing for the Long-term and Minimizing Costs Increasingly Important to Investors Today

Q12. Has your emphasis on each of these factors increased, decreased, or stayed the same in the last 10 years?
Index Funds
Knowledge of Mutual Funds is High; Higher among Vanguard Investors

Q10. How would you rate your knowledge of investing in mutual funds? Are you…?
Investing Public and Vanguard Investors Report Being Knowledgeable About Index Funds

- Vanguard Investors say they feel more knowledgeable (somewhat, very, extremely) about index funds (75.3%) as compared to the general investing public (59.0%).

General Investing Public

Knowledge of Index Funds

Vanguard Investors

Knowledge of Index Funds

Q13. How would you rate your knowledge of index funds, specifically? Are you…

- Extremely, 9.2%
- Very, 20.2%
- Somewhat, 29.6%
- Not very, 22.8%
- Not at all, 18.2%
- 6.4%, Not at all
- 11.6%, Extremely
- 18.4%, Not very
- 24.0%, Very
- 39.7%, Somewhat
Index Funds Generally Seen as Having a Positive Impact in Investing

Respondents were provided the following statement and then asked the question: *Passively managed index funds are funds that track a group or bucket of stocks and/or bonds. For example, index funds designed to track the Standard and Poor’s 500 mirror the risk and return of the entire stock market at a very low cost.*

**General Investing Public**

Impact of Index Funds on an Individual’s Investments

- 19.0%, Very positive
- 0.4%, Very negative
- 10.8%, Somewhat negative
- 54.4%, Somewhat positive
- 15.4%, Not at all

**Vanguard Investors**

Impact of Index Funds on an Individual’s Investments

- 6.4%, Not at all
- 1.4%, Very negative
- 6.4%, Somewhat negative
- 57.1%, Somewhat positive
- 28.7%, Very positive

Q14. Passively managed index funds are funds that track a group or bucket of stocks and/or bonds. For example, index funds designed to track the Standard and Poor’s 500 mirror the risk and return of the entire stock market at a very low cost. What kind of impact do you think index funds have had on the way individuals invest, if any?
## Wide Agreement on Views of Index Funds Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Investing Public</th>
<th>Vanguard Investors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index funds are for amateur investors who lack the expertise to beat the market.</td>
<td>40.2% 41.6% 18.2%</td>
<td>32.4% 32.1% 35.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index funds are more transparent about their investing strategy and costs.</td>
<td>46.6% 47.0% 6.4%</td>
<td>57.9% 37.1% 5.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index funds could distort prices and exacerbate market turbulence.</td>
<td>30.0% 52.8% 17.2%</td>
<td>25.6% 42.5% 32.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index funds get better returns than actively managed funds over the long term.</td>
<td>38.4% 52.8% 8.8%</td>
<td>48.5% 44.3% 7.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index funds that represent the entire market eliminate risks associated with individual companies, market sectors and stock picking.</td>
<td>51.8% 38.8% 9.4%</td>
<td>64.5% 26.7% 8.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index funds that represent the entire market have lower costs and less risk than actively managed funds that pick certain stocks.</td>
<td>52.8% 41.8% 5.4%</td>
<td>66.4% 29.5% 4.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q15. When it comes to index funds, how much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Describing Jack Bogle’s Legacy
How the General Public Remembers Jack Bogle: In Their Own Words

- He's the only good investment guy
- He never pretended to know how the market would perform unlike most talking heads on tv
- Worked for the average investor - not greedy
- Has done great things for the average investor
- Great man with great vision and financial wisdom
- Solid investor looking out for the investor
- ..Because my investments have done remarkably well with Vanguard

Q21. What is that? (Open-end) [FOLLOW-UP TO Q20. Is your view of Jack Bogle…?]
How Vanguard Investors Remember Jack Bogle: In Their Own Words

- Founder of Vanguard. Smart. Trustworthy. Visionary
- He is a pioneer for the average investor to get into the market
- The man seemed to pioneer the industry, while his friends and foes pocketed billions, he put billions into others hands at the cost of his own wealth
- I think Jack Bogle has had a clear vision for Vanguard and has created a company that is innovative, stable, and has an excellent reputation within the investment industry
- He has made Vanguard a very reputable company who puts it's customers first, long history of transparency, offers a multitude of investment opportunities
- Stellar reputation and a pioneer in Index Funds
- He is the pioneer of Indexing and has made Vanguard the great and best investment company it is today

Q21. What is that? (Open-end) [FOLLOW-UP TO Q20. Is your view of Jack Bogle…?]
Phrases that Describe Jack Bogle’s Legacy: General Investing Public
(Respondents Allowed Three Choices)

Describing Jack Bogle’s Contributions

- Investing in the entire market with low cost index funds is better than stock picking: 59.8% agree
- Made investing understandable: 45.4% agree
- Vanguard’s priority is to put investors first: 32.4% agree
- The best friend a small investor ever had: 27.2% agree
- Forget finding the needle; buy the entire haystack: 26.2% agree
- Vanguard clients also own the company: 16.4% agree
- Democratized investing: 15.0% agree
Phrases that Describe Jack Bogle’s Legacy: Vanguard Investors
(Respondents Allowed Three Choices)

Describing Jack Bogle’s Contributions

- Investing in the entire market with low cost index funds is better than stock picking: 66.5%
- Vanguard’s priority is to put investors first: 51.5%
- Made investing understandable: 43.3%
- The best friend a small investor ever had: 30.9%
- Forget finding the needle; buy the entire haystack: 28.5%
- Vanguard clients also own the company: 22.0%
- Democratized investing: 15.8%

General Public:
- 59.8%
- 32.4%
- 45.4%
- 27.2%
- 26.2%
- 16.4%
- 15.0%
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